

Different mechanisms of graphene wall nucleation on Fe and Ni particles

Jean-Luc Maurice, Zhanbing He, Costel Sorin Cojocaru

▶ To cite this version:

Jean-Luc Maurice, Zhanbing He, Costel Sorin Cojocaru. Different mechanisms of graphene wall nucleation on Fe and Ni particles. Conference on in-situ and correlative electron microscopy (CISCEM), Nov 2012, Saarbrücken, Germany. pp.142, 10.1016/B978-0-12-407700-3.00002-8. hal-00753768

HAL Id: hal-00753768 https://polytechnique.hal.science/hal-00753768

Submitted on 19 Nov 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Differentmechanisms of graphenewallsnucleation on Fe and Ni particles

J.-L. Maurice,^{*,1}Z.B. He,^{1,2} and C.S. Cojocaru¹

¹Laboratoire de Physique des Interfaces et Couches Minces, LPICM, UMR 7647, CNRS-Ecole Polytechnique, Route de Saclay, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France ²Now at EMAT, University of Antwerp, Groenenborgerlaan 171, Antwerp B-2020, Belgium *corresponding author: jean-luc.maurice@polytechnique.edu

Field-emission devices [1]require that thepotential drop be devoted to emission so that the resistivity of the tipsmust be as low as possible. However, in the case of carbon nanotubes or nanofibres (CNTs and CNFs), the tips are made of graphene layers. In graphite, the resistivity is four orders of magnitude lower in the graphene planes than perpendicular to the planes. Thus real nanotubes – where graphene planes are parallel to the tube axis – are *a priori* much better fitted to emission than nanofibres – where the graphene planes are at an angle (in that case, the tubular shapeisobtained by the stacking of truncated cones, see Fig. 1). Such nano-objects are made by direct-current plasma-enhanced chemical vapour deposition (dcPECVD) with metal nanoparticle catalysts on top. In given growth conditions (see ref. [2]), we have found that iron particles allowed one to obtain real nanotubes [2], while nickel ones did not[3](Fig. 1). The goal of the present study is to understand how Fe particles would favour real CNT growth while Ni ones would not.

In situ observation of the growth of nanotubes has already been successful for deciphering certain CNT growth mechanisms [4-7]. In the present case, we used *in situ* annealing of dcPECVD grown CNFs, in a FEI CM 30 working at 300 keV, with a Gatan heating stage, in the temperature range 500-800°C. The nanofibres were deposited on holey carbon grids by scratching the original substrate.

We first explored annealing under electron irradiation as in ref.[6]. We obtained effects with Ni (see Fig. 2a), but not with Fe. We then applied an amorphization treatment to the Fe-CNFs[8],andused amorphous matter to feed the metal nanoparticle with carbon atoms (Fig. 2b). In their as-grown state, both types of nano-objects have graphene planes essentially parallel to the particle surface: the cone angle of the graphene layers is the cone angle of the metal particle (θ in Fig. 1). In the case of Ni, creep of the metal particle brings at places a geometry where graphene planes are almost perpendicular to the local Ni surface: at those spots, the graphene layers get dissolved into the Ni (Fig. 2a, middle). Then, new graphene planes are generated parallel to the surface at another spot (Fig. 2a, right). There is no such thing with Fe: we see no evolution of the particle, unless we start from an amorphized CNF (Fig. 2b). In that case, the amorphous carbon is easily dissolved into the Fe. These dissolved carbon atoms get out of the particle in the form of a new nanotube, where nucleation takes place – partly at least – perpendicular to the Fe surface.

This experiment seems to show that the chemical reactivities at the interface between the metals and end-on graphene planes are quite different in Fe and Ni, which may play a role in the fact that we were able to obtain real nanotubes with Fe and not with Ni.

Acknowledgements

We thank Giancarlo Rizza and Estelle Meslin (CEA, Palaiseau andSaclay, France), for the use of the heating holder. This research was supported by C'Nano, Region Ile-de-France.

References

- [1] K.B.K. Teo, et al., *Nature* 437(2005) 968.
- [2] Z.B. He, et al., *Chem. Mater*. 23 (2011) 5379.
- [3] Z.B. He, et al., *Carbon* 49 (2011) 435.
- [4] S. Helveg, et al.,*Nature*427(2004) 426.
- [5] S. Hofmann, et al., *Nano Lett*. 7(2007) 602.
- [6] J.A. Rodriguez-Manzo, et al., *Nature Nanotechnol*.2(2007) 307.
- [7] M. Lin, et al., *Nano Lett*. 7(2007) 2234.
- [8] Z.B. He, et al., *IMC 17 (International Microscopy Congress)* (2010).



Fig. 2. *In situ*annealing of CNFs grown with metal on top. At the beginning, graphite layers are parallel to the metal surface. (a) Ni, 750°C: graphene planes that get an angle with the Ni surface get dissolved into the metal (middle), while there is nucleation of new planes parallel to the metal surface (right). (b)Nucleation of a carbon nanotube at the surface of an iron particle by *in situ* annealingof an amorphizednanofibre at 650°C: graphene planes are extruded from the particle, with a component of growth perpendicular to the local metal surface.