

Dynamic compensation and homeostasis: a feedback control perspective

Michel Fliess, Cédric Join

▶ To cite this version:

Michel Fliess, Cédric Join. Dynamic compensation and homeostasis: a feedback control perspective. [Research Report] Ecole polytechnique X. 2018, pp.1-22. hal-01684422v2

HAL Id: hal-01684422 https://polytechnique.hal.science/hal-01684422v2

Submitted on 15 Jan 2018 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Dynamic compensation and homeostasis: a feedback control perspective

Michel Fliess^{a,c}, Cédric Join^{b,c,d}

^aLIX (CNRS, UMR 7161), École polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau, France. Michel.Fliess@polytechnique.edu ^bCRAN (CNRS, UMR 7039), Université de Lorraine, BP 239, 54506 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, France cedric.join@univ-lorraine.fr ^cAL.I.E.N. (ALgèbre pour Identification & Estimation Numériques), 7 rue Maurice Barrès, 54330 Vézelise, France. {michel.fliess, cedric.join}@alien-sas.com ^dProjet Non-A, INRIA Lille - Nord-Europe, France

Abstract

"Dynamic compensation" is a robustness property where a perturbed biological circuit maintains a suitable output [Karin O., Swisa A., Glaser B., Dor Y., Alon U. (2016). Mol. Syst. Biol., 12: 886]. In spite of several attempts, no fully convincing analysis seems now to be on hand. This communication suggests an explanation via "model-free control" and the corresponding "intelligent" controllers [Fliess M., Join C. (2013). Int. J. Contr., 86, 2228-2252], which are already successfully applied in many concrete situations. As a byproduct this setting provides also a slightly different presentation of homeostasis, or "exact adaptation," where the working conditions are assumed to be "mild." Several convincing, but academic, computer simulations are provided and discussed. *Keywords:* Systems biology, homeostasis, exact adaptation, dynamic compensation, integral feedback control, PID, model-free control, intelligent proportional controller.

Research Report. École polytechnique, Palaiseau, France, January 2018

1 1. Introduction

In systems biology, *i.e.*, an approach of growing importance to theoretical 2 biology (see, e.g., Alon (2006); Klipp et al. (2016); Kremling (2012)), basic 3 control notions, like feedback loops, are becoming more and more popular (see, e.g., Åström et al. (2008); Cowan et al. (2014); Cosentino et al. (2011); Del Vecchio *et al.* (2015)). This communication intends to show that a peculiar 6 feedback loop permits to clarify the concept of dynamic compensation (DC) of 7 biological circuits, which was recently introduced by Karin et al. (2016). DC is 8 a robustness property. It implies, roughly speaking, that biological systems are 9 able of maintaining a suitable output despite environmental fluctuations. As 10 noticed by Karin et al. (2016) such a property arises naturally in physiological 11 systems. The DC of blood glucose, for instance, with respect to variation in 12 insulin sensitivity and insulin secretion is obtained by controlling the functional 13 mass of pancreatic beta cells. 14

The already existing and more restricted *homeostasis*, or *exact adaptation*, deals only with constant reference trajectories, *i.e.*, setpoints. It is achievable via an *integral* feedback (see, *e.g.*, Alon *et al.* (1999); Briat *et al.* (2016); Miao *et al.* (2011); Stelling *et al.* (2004); Yi *et al.* (2000))

Remark 1.1. PIDs (see, e.g., Åström et al. (2008); O'Dwyer (2009)) read:

$$u = K_P e + K_I \int e + K_D \dot{e} \tag{1}$$

19 where

u, y, y* are respectively the control and output variables, and the reference
 trajectory.

• $e = y - y^*$ is the tracking error,

• $K_P, K_I, K_D \in \mathbb{R}$ are the tuning gains.

²⁴ To the best of our knowledge, they are, strangely enough, more or less missing

in the literature on theoretical biology,¹ although they lead to the most widely
used control strategies in industry.

From $K_P = K_D = 0$ in Equation (1), the following integral feedback is deduced:

$$u = K_I \int e \tag{2}$$

²⁷ Compare Equation (2) with the references above on homeostasis, and Somvanshi
²⁸ et al. (2015). See Abouaïssa et al. (2017b), and the references therein, for an
²⁹ application to ramp metering on freeways in order to avoid traffic congestion.

Conditions for DC have already been investigated by several authors: Karin 30 et al. (2017a,b); Sontag (2017); Villaverde et al. (2017). Parameter identifica-31 tion, which plays a key rôle in most of those studies, leads, according to the 32 own words of Karin et al. (2017b), to some kind of "discrepancy," which is 33 perhaps not yet fully cleared up. We suggest therefore another roadmap, *i.e.*, 34 intelligent feedback controllers as defined by Fliess et al. (2013). Many con-35 crete applications have already been developed all over the world. Some have 36 been patented. The bibliography contains for obvious reasons only recent works 37 in biotechnology: Bara et al. (2016); Join et al. (2017a); Lafont et al. (2015); 38 MohammadRidha et al. (2018); Tebbani et al. (2016).² 39

An unexpected byproduct is derived from Remark 1.1 and the comparison in 40 Abouaïssa et al. (2017b) between Equation (2) and our intelligent proportional 41 controller (Fliess et al. (2013)). Exact adaptation means now a "satisfactory" 42 behavior thanks to the feedback loop defined by Equation (2) when the working 43 conditions are "mild." The result by Karin et al. (2016) via a mechanism for DC 44 based on known hormonal circuit reactions, which states that exact adaptation 45 does not guarantee dynamical compensation, remains therefore valid in this new 46 context. 47

¹This is of course less the case in *synthetic biology*, *i.e.*, a blending between biology and engineering (see, *e.g.*, Del Vecchio *et al.* (2016) and the references therein).

 $^{^{2}}$ A rather comprehensive bibliography of concrete applications is provided by Abouaïssa *et al.* (2017a).

This exploratory research report is organized as follows. Intelligent controllers are summarized in Section 2, where the connection between classic PIs and intelligent proportional controllers is also presented. Section 3, which is hevily influenced by Abouaïssa *et al.* (2017b), defines dynamic compensation and exact adaptation. Section 4 displays various convincing, but academic, computer experiments. Some concluding remarks may be found in Section 5.

⁵⁴ 2. Model-free control and intelligent controllers

- ⁵⁵ See Fliess *et al.* (2013) for full details.
- 56 2.1. Generalities
- 57 2.1.1. The ultra-local model

The poorly known global description of the plant, which is assumed for simplicity's sake to be SISO (single-input single output),³ is replaced by the *ultra-local model*:

$$y^{(\nu)} = F + \alpha u \tag{3}$$

58 where:

- the control and output variables are respectively u and y;
- the derivation order ν is often equal to 1, sometimes to 2; in practice $\nu \ge 3$ has never been encountered;
- the constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is chosen by the practitioner such that αu and $y^{(\nu)}$ are of the same magnitude; therefore α does not need to be precisely estimated.
- ⁶⁵ The following comments might be useful:
- Equation (3) is only valid during a short time lapse and must be continuously updated;

³For a multivariable extension, see, e.g., Lafont et al. (2015); Menhour et al. (2017).

• F is estimated via the knowledge of the control and output variables uand y;

F subsumes not only the system structure, which most of the time will be
 nonlinear, but also any external disturbance.

72 2.1.2. Intelligent controllers

Set $\nu = 2$. Close the loop with the following *intelligent proportional-integral*derivative controller, or *iPID*,

$$u = -\frac{F - \dot{y}^* + K_P e + K_I \int e + K_D \dot{e}}{\alpha} \tag{4}$$

73 where:

• $e = y - y^*$ is the tracking error,

• $K_P, K_I, K_D \in \mathbb{R}$ are the tuning gains.

When $K_I = 0$, we obtain the *intelligent proportional-derivative controller*, or *iPD*,

$$u = -\frac{F - \dot{y}^* + K_P e + K_D \dot{e}}{\alpha} \tag{5}$$

When $\nu = 1$ and $K_I = K_D = 0$, we obtain the *intelligent proportional controller*, or *iP*, which is the most important one,

$$u = -\frac{F - \dot{y}^* + K_P e}{\alpha} \tag{6}$$

Combining Equations (3) and (6) yields:

$$\dot{e} + K_P e = 0 \tag{7}$$

where F does not appear anymore. The tuning of K_P is therefore straightforward.

Remark 2.1. See Join et al. (2017b) for a comment on those various controllers.

2.1.3. Estimation of F

Assume that F in Equation (3) is "well" approximated by a piecewise constant function F_{est} .⁴ The estimation techniques below are borrowed from Fliess *et al.* (2003, 2008) and Sira-Ramírez *et al.* (2014). Let us summarize two types of computations:

1. Rewrite Equation (3) in the operational domain (see, e.g., Erdélyi (1962)):

$$sY = \frac{\Phi}{s} + \alpha U + y(0)$$

where Φ is a constant. We get rid of the initial condition y(0) by multiplying both sides on the left by $\frac{d}{ds}$:

$$Y + s\frac{dY}{ds} = -\frac{\Phi}{s^2} + \alpha\frac{dU}{ds}$$

Noise attenuation is achieved by multiplying both sides on the left by s^{-2} , since integration with respect to time is a lowpass filter. It yields in the time domain the realtime estimate, thanks to the equivalence between $\frac{d}{ds}$ and the multiplication by -t,

$$F_{\rm est}(t) = -\frac{6}{\tau^3} \int_{t-\tau}^t \left[(\tau - 2\sigma) y(\sigma) + \alpha \sigma (\tau - \sigma) u(\sigma) \right] d\sigma \tag{8}$$

86

where $\tau > 0$ might be quite small. This integral may of course be replaced in practice by a classic digital filter.

2. Close the loop with the iP (6). It yields:

$$F_{\rm est}(t) = \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\int_{t-\tau}^{t} \left(\dot{y}^{\star} - \alpha u - K_{P} e \right) d\sigma \right]$$

Remark 2.2. From a hardware standpoint, a real-time implementation of our
intelligent controllers is also cheap and easy (Join et al. (2013)).

89 2.2. PI and iP

Consider the classic continuous-time PI controller

$$u(t) = k_p e(t) + k_i \int e(\tau) d\tau$$
(9)

⁴This is a weak assumption (see, e.g., Bourbaki (1976)).

A crude sampling of the integral $\int e(\tau) d\tau$ through a Riemann sum $\mathcal{I}(t)$ leads to

$$\int e(\tau)d\tau \simeq \mathcal{I}(t) = \mathcal{I}(t-h) + he(t)$$

where h is the sampling interval. The corresponding discrete form of Equation (9) reads:

$$u(t) = k_p e(t) + k_i \mathcal{I}(t) = k_p e(t) + k_i \mathcal{I}(t-h) + k_i h e(t)$$

Combining the above equation with

$$u(t-h) = k_p e(t-h) + k_i \mathcal{I}(t-h)$$

yields

$$u(t) = u(t-h) + k_p \left(e(t) - e(t-h) \right) + k_i h e(t)$$
(10)

Remark 2.3. A trivial sampling of the "velocity form" of Equation (9)

$$\dot{u}(t) = k_p \dot{e}(t) + k_i e(t) \tag{11}$$

yields

$$\frac{u(t) - u(t-h)}{h} = k_p \left(\frac{e(t) - e(t-h)}{h}\right) + k_i e(t)$$

which is equivalent to Equation (10).

Replace in Equation (6) F by $\dot{y}(t) - \alpha u(t-h)$ and therefore by

$$\frac{y(t) - y(t-h)}{h} - \alpha u(t-h)$$

It yields

$$u(t) = u(t-h) - \frac{e(t) - e(t-h)}{h\alpha} - \frac{K_P}{\alpha} e(t)$$
(12)

FACT.- Equations (10) and (12) become identical if we set

$$k_p = -\frac{1}{\alpha h}, \quad k_i = -\frac{K_P}{\alpha h} \tag{13}$$

91

Remark 2.4. This path breaking result was first stated by d'Andréa-Novel et
al. (2010):

- It is straightforward to extend it to the same type of equivalence between PIDs and iPDs.
- It explains apparently for the first time the ubiquity of PIs and PIDs in
 the industrial world.

⁹⁸ 3. Exact adaptation and dynamic compensation

- ⁹⁹ Equation (11) shows that integral and proportional-integral controllers are ¹⁰⁰ close when
- 101 1. \dot{e} remains small,
- ¹⁰² 2. the reference trajectory y^* starts at the initial condition y(0) or, at least, ¹⁰³ at a point in a neighborhood,
- ¹⁰⁴ 3. the measurement noise corruption is low.
- ¹⁰⁵ The following conditions might be helpful:
- the reference trajectory y^* is "slowly" varying, and starts at the initial condition y(0) or, at least, at a point in its neighborhood,⁵
- the disturbances and the corrupting noises are rather mild.

Then the performances of the integral controller should be decent: this is exact adaptation, or homeostasis. When the above conditions are not satisfied, dynamic compensation means that one at least of the feedback loops in Section 2.1 is negative, *i.e.*, fluctuations around the reference trajectory due to perturbations and input changes are attenuated.⁶

⁵Setpoints are therefore recovered.

 $^{^{6}}$ The wording "negative feedback" is today common in biology, but, to some extent, neglected in engineering, where it was quite popular long time ago (see, *e.g.*, Küpfmüller *et al.* (2017)). Historical details are given by Zeron (2008) and Del Vecchio *et al.* (2015).

114 4. Two computer experiments

The two academic examples below provide easily implementable numerical examples. They are characterized by the following features:

- $K_I = 0.5$ (resp. $K_I = 1$) for the integral feedback in the linear (resp. nonlinear) case.
- $\alpha = K_P = 1$ for the the iP (6) in both cases.
- The sampling period is 10ms.
- In order to be more realistic, the output is corrupted additively by a zeromean white Gaussian noise of standard deviation 0.01.
- 123 4.1. Linear case

Consider the input-output system defined by the transfer function

$$\frac{2(s+1)}{s^2+s+1}$$

- ¹²⁴ Several reference trajectories are examined:
- (i) Setpoint and 50% efficiency loss of the actuator: see Figures 1 see 2.
- (ii) Slow connection between two setpoints: see Figures 3 and 4.
- ¹²⁷ (iii) Fast connection: see Figures 5 and 6.
- ¹²⁸ (iv) Complex reference trajectory: see Figures 7 and 8.

¹²⁹ In the first scenario, the control efficiency loss is attenuated much faster by the ¹³⁰ iP than by the integral feedback. The behaviors of the integral feedback and ¹³¹ the iP with respect to a slow connection are both good and cannot be really ¹³² distinguished. The situation change drastically with a fast connection and a ¹³³ complex reference trajectory: the iP becomes vastly superior to the integral ¹³⁴ feedback. Exact adaptation works well only in the second scenario, whereas ¹³⁵ dynamic compensation yields always excellent results.



Figure 1: Integral feedback, constant reference trajectory, control efficiency loss



Figure 2: iP, constant reference trajectory, control efficiency loss



Figure 3: Integral feedback, slow connection



Figure 4: iP, slow connection



Figure 5: Integral feedback, fast connection



Figure 6: iP, fast connection



Figure 7: Integral connection, complex reference trajectory



Figure 8: iP, complex reference trajectory

136 4.2. Nonlinear case

Consider

$$\dot{y} + y = u^3 + P_{\text{pert}}$$

where P_{pert} is a perturbation. Introduce three scenarios:

- (i) Setpoint without any perturbation, *i.e.*, $P_{\text{pert}} = 0$: see Figures 9 and 10.
- (ii) Setpoint with a sine wave perturbation which starts at t = 25s, *i.e.*,
- ¹⁴⁰ $P_{\text{pert}}(t) = 0.2 \sin(\frac{2\pi}{5}(t-25))$ if $t \ge 25$ s, and $P_{\text{pert}}(t) = 0$ if $t \le 25$ s: ¹⁴¹ see Figures 11 and 12.
- (iii) Non-constant reference trajectory without any perturbation, *i.e.*, $P_{\text{pert}} = 0$: see Figures 13 and 14.

A clear-cut superiority of the iP with respect to the integral feedback is indisputable. The behavior of dynamic compensation (resp. exact adaptation) is
always (resp. never) satisfactory.

Remark 4.1. Do not believe that integral feedbacks are never adequate if non linearities occur. See

- an example related to ramp metering in Abouaïssa et al. (2017b),
- theoretical investigations in Sontag (2010).

¹⁵¹ 5. Conclusion

In order to be fully convincing, this preliminary annoucement on homeostasis extensions needs of course to exhibit true biological examples. In our context noise corruption is also a hot topic (see, *e.g.*, Briat *et al.* (2016); Sun *et al.* (2010)). The estimation and identification techniques sketched in Section 2.1 might lead to a better understanding (see also Fliess (2006, 2008)).



Figure 9: Integral feedback, constant reference trajectory, without any perturbation



Figure 10: iP, constant reference trajectory, without any perturbation



Figure 11: Integral feedback, constant reference trajectory, with perturbation



Figure 12: iP, constant reference trajectory, with perturbation



Figure 13: Integral feedback, non-constant reference trajectory, without any perturbation



Figure 14: iP, non-constant reference trajectory, without any perturbation

157 References

- Abouaïssa H., Alhaj Hasan O., Join C., Fliess M., Defer D. (2017a). Energy
- saving for building heating via a simple and efficient model-free control design:
- ¹⁶⁰ First steps with computer simulations. 21st Int. Conf. Syst. Theor. Contr.
- 161 Comput., Sinaia. https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01568899/en/
- Abouaïssa H., Fliess M., Join C. (2017b). On ramp metering: Towards a better
 understanding of ALINEA via model-free control. *Int. J. Contr.*, 90, 10181026.
- ¹⁶⁵ Alon U. (2006). An Introduction to Systems Biology: Design Principles of Bio ¹⁶⁶ logical Circuits. Chapman and Hall.
- ¹⁶⁷ Alon U., Surette M.G., Barkai N., Leibler S. (1999). Robustness in bacterial
 ¹⁶⁸ chemotaxis. *Nature*, 397, 168-171.
- ¹⁶⁹ d'Andréa-Novel B., Fliess M., Join C., Mounier H., Steux B. (2010). A mathe-
- ¹⁷⁰ matical explanation via "intelligent" PID controllers of the strange ubiquity
- of PIDs. 18th Medit. Conf. Contr. Automat., Marrakech.
- 172 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/inria-00480293/en/
- ¹⁷³ Åström K.J., Murray R.M. (2008). Feedback Systems: An Introduction for Sci-
- *entists and Engineers.* Princeton University Press.
- ¹⁷⁵ Bara O., Fliess M., Join C., Day J., Djouadi S.M. (2016). Model-free immune
- therapy: A control approach to acute inflammation. Europ. Contr. Conf.,
- Aalborg. https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01341060/en/
- ¹⁷⁸ Bourbaki N. (1976). Fonctions d'une variable réelle. Hermann. English trans¹⁷⁹ lation (2004): Functions of a Real Variable. Springer.
- ¹⁸⁰ Briat C., Gupta A., Khammash M. (2016). Antithetic integral feedback ensures
- robust perfect adaptation in noisy biomolecular networks. Cell Syst., 2, 15-26.
- 182 Cowan N.J., Ankarali M.M., Dyhr J.P., Madhav M.S., Roth E., Sefati S., Spon-
- ¹⁸³ berg S., Stamper S.A., Fortune E.S., Daniel T.L. (2014). Feedback control as

- a framework for understanding tradeoffs in biology. *Integr. Compar. Biol.*, 54,
 223-237.
- ¹⁸⁶ Cosentino C., Bates D. (2011). An Introduction to Feedback Control in Systems
 ¹⁸⁷ Biology. CRC Press.
- Del Vecchio D., Dy A.J., Qian Υ. (2016).Control theory 188 meets synthetic biology. J. Roy. Soc. Interface, 13:20160380. 189 http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsif.1916.0380 190
- Del Vecchio D., Murray R.M. (2015). Biomolecular Feedback Systems. Princeton
 University Press.
- Erdélyi A. (1962). Operational Calculus and Generalized Functions. Holt Rine hart Winston.
- ¹⁹⁵ Fliess M. (2006). Analyse non standard du bruit. C. R. Math., 342, 797-802.
- ¹⁹⁶ Fliess M. (2008). Critique du rapport signal à bruit en communications
- ¹⁹⁷ numériques. Revue Afric. Recher. Informat. Math. Appli., 9, 419-429.
- 198 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/inria-00311719/en/
- ¹⁹⁹ Fliess M., Join C. (2013). Model-free control. Int. J. Contr., 86, 2228-2252.
- Fliess M., Sira-Ramírez H. (2003). An algebraic framework for linear identification. ESAIM Contr. Optimiz. Calc. Variat., 9, 151-168.
- ²⁰² Fliess M., Sira-Ramírez H. (2008). Closed-loop parametric identification for
- $_{203}$ $\,$ continuous-time linear systems via new algebraic techniques. H. Garnier & L.
- ²⁰⁴ Wang (Eds): Identification of Continuous-time Models from Sampled Data,
- ²⁰⁵ Springer, pp. 362-391.
- Join C., Bernier J., Mottelet S., Fliess M., Rechdaoui-Guérin S., Azimi S.,
 Rocher V. (2017a). A simple and efficient feedback control strategy for
- 208 wastewater denitrification. 20th World Congr. Int. Feder. Automat. Contr.,
- Toulouse. https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01488199/en/

- Join C., Chaxel F., Fliess M. (2013). "Intelligent" controllers on cheap and small
- ²¹¹ programmable devices. 2nd Int. Conf. Contr. Fault-Tolerant Syst., Nice.
- 212 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00845795/en/
- Join C., Delaleau E., Fliess M., Moog C.H. (2017b). Un résultat intrigant en
- ²¹⁴ commande sans modèle. *ISTE OpenScience Automat.*, 1, 9 p.
- 215 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01628322/en/
- Karin O., Alon U. (2017a). Biphasic response as a mechanism against mutant
 takeover in tissue homeostasis circuits. *Molec. Syst. Biol.*, 13, 933.
- Karin O., Alon U., Sontag E. (2017b). A note on dynamical compensation and its relation to parameter identifiability. bioRxiv doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1101/123489
- Karin O., Swisa A., Glaser B., Dor Y., Alon U. (2016). Dynamical
 compensation in physiological circuits. *Mol. Syst. Biol.*, 12: 886. DOI
 10.15252/msb.19167216
- Klipp E., Liebermeister W., Wierling C., Kowald A. (2016). Systems Biology
 (2nd ed.). Wiley-VCH.
- ²²⁶ Kremling A. (2012). Kompendium Systembiologie Mathematische Model²²⁷ lierung und Modellanalyse. Vieweg + Teubner. English translation (2014):
 ²²⁸ Systems Biology. CRC Press.
- ²²⁹ Küpfmüller K., Mathis W., Reibiger, W. (2017). Theoretische Elektrotechnik –
 ²³⁰ Eine Einführung (20. Auflage).⁷ Springer.
- Lafont F., Balmat J.-F., Pessel N., Fliess M. (2015). A model-free control strat-
- egy for an experimental greenhouse with an application to fault accommoda-
- tion. Comput. Electron. Agricult., 110, 139–149.

 $^{^7\}mathrm{The}$ first edition was published in 1932. Karl Küpfmüller was its sole author. He died in 1977.

234	Menhour L., d'Andréa-Novel B., Fliess M., Gruyer D., Mounier H (2017). An
235	efficient model-free setting for longitudinal and lateral vehicle control. Vali-
236	dation through the interconnected pro-SiVIC/RTMaps prototyping platform.
237	IEEE Trans. Intel. Transport. Syst., 2017. DOI: 10.1109/TITS.1917.2699283
238	Miao H., Xia X., Perelson A.S., Wu H. (2011). On identifiability of nonlinear
239	ODE models and applications in viral dynamics. SIAM Rev., 53, 3-39.
240	MohammadRidha T., Aït-Ahmed M., Chailloux L., Krempf M., Guilhem I.,
241	Poirier JY., Moog C.H. (2018). Model free iPID control for glycemia regu-
242	lation of type-1 diabetes. IEEE Trans. Biomed. Engin., 65, 199-206.
243	O'Dwyer A. (2009). Handbook of PI and PID Controller Tuning Rules (3rd ed.).
244	Imperial College Press.
245	Sira-Ramírez H., García-Rodríguez C., Cortès-Romero J., Luviano-Juárez A.
246	(2013). Algebraic Identification and Estimation Methods in Feedback Control
247	Systems. Wiley.
248	Somvanshi P.R., Patel A.K, Bhartiya S., Venkatesh K.V. (2015). Implementa-
249	tion of integral feedback control in biological systems. Wiley Interdisc. Rev.:
250	Syst. Biol. Med., 7, 301-316.
251	Sontag E.D. (2010). Remarks on feedforward circuits, adaptation, and pulse
252	memory. <i>IET Syst. Biol.</i> , 4, 39-51.
253	Sontag E.D. (2017). Dynamic compensation, parameter identifiabil-
254	ity, and equivariances. $PLoS$ Comput. Biol., 13: e1005447. doi:
255	10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005447

Sun L., Becskei A. (2010). The cost of feedback control. Nature, 467, 163-164.

256

- Stelling J., Sauer U., Szallasi Z., Doyle F.J., Doyle J. (2004). Robustness of 257 cellular functions. Cell, 118, 675-685. 258
- Tebbani S., Titica M., Join C., Fliess M., Dumur D. (2016). Model-based ver-259 sus model-free control designs for improving microalgae growth in a closed 260

- 261 photobioreactor: Some preliminary comparisons. 24th Medit. Conf. Contr.
- 262 Automat., Athens. https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01312251/en/
- ²⁶³ Villaverde A.F., Banga J.R. (2017). Dynamical compensation and structural
- $_{264}$ identifiability of biological models: Analysis, implications, and reconciliation.
- ²⁶⁵ PLoS Comput. Biol., 13, e1005878.
- 266 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005878
- ²⁶⁷ Yi T.-M., Huang Y., Simon M.I., Doyle J. (2000). Robust perfect adaptation
- in bacterial chemotaxis through integral feedback control. *Proc. Nat. Acad.*
- 269 Sci., 97, 4649-4653.
- 270 Zeron E.S. (2008). Positive and negative feedback in engineering and biology.
- 271 Math. Model. Nat. Phenom., 3, 67-84.